From: [redacted]
To: Crown Casework
Cc: culum.miller.mp@parliament.uk; wpsobjection@gmail.com
Subject: Objection to planning application PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843, Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE
Date: 10 September 2026 20:22:23

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

Application reference: PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843

Dear Secretary of State,

As a concerned member of the public I wish to strongly object to planning application: PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843.

This is the proposed 1,256-person asylum accommodation centre at Site A, MOD Bicester, Bicester Garrison, Launton Road, Oxfordshire, OX25 1AE. I sincerely request that planning permission be refused.

My concerns are about the completeness of the evidence submitted with the application. Significant questions remain around fire safety, ground conditions and the suitability of the site. Also there is important ecological and technical work that remains outstanding,

I am extremely concerned that ground contamination has not been fully investigated. Previous land-quality work identified potential contamination issues, yet no borehole investigation has been carried out to resolve those risks.

There are also significant flooding and drainage concerns. I am reliably informed that parts of the site are at high risk of surface-water flooding and that aspects of the drainage strategy remain unresolved.

The Transport Assessment repeatedly refers to the wrong road, the B4011 serving the site has limited pedestrian infrastructure and there is a recorded history of serious collisions. These facts raise serious questions.

This application does not demonstrated a confirmed permanent solution for essential infrastructure such as electricity and wastewater. A development accommodating up to 1,256 people should be not approved while such fundamental matters remain unresolved.

The proposal is exceptionally large for a isolated rural location. There is little surrounding infrastructure, limited public transport and very few already stretch services within walking distance.

I am concerned that the extremely short representation period does not provide sufficient time for residents, councils and technical specialists to properly examine such a substantial and complicated application.

The application documents run to well over a thousand pages across multiple technical disciplines, and it is not reasonable to expect them to be read and properly considered in so short a time.

Ordinary planning applications carry a minimum 21 day period, and the standard Crown Development route prescribes 21 days at every stage, so I ask that the representation

period be extended to at least 21 days from 7 September 2026, to Monday 28 September 2026, since the 21st day falls on a Sunday.

There was a smaller proposal for asylum accommodation on this site some years ago. This was refused by an independent Planning Inspector before being cancelled.

Subsequently these serious issues mean I do not believe the applicant has demonstrated that Site A is a suitable location for a development of this scale.

Therefore I respectfully request that the planning permission be refused.

If the Secretary of State is nevertheless minded to approve the application, I ask that no decision is made until outstanding work concerning drainage, flooding, wastewater, contamination, ecology, highways, pedestrian safety, power supply, lighting, noise, fire safety and decommissioning has been completed and made available for proper scrutiny.

Yours faithfully,

Shelley Crozier
[redacted]

Yahoo Mail: Search, organise, conquer